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Abstract. In order to perfectly meet the needs of business leaders, decision-makers have resorted to the integration of external sources (such as Linked Open Data) in the decision-making system in order to enrich their existing data warehouses with new concepts contributing to bring added value to their organizations, enhance its productivity and retain its customers. However, the traditional data warehouse environment is not suitable to support external Big Data. To deal with this new challenge, several researches are oriented towards the direct conversion of classical relational data warehouse to a columnar NoSQL data warehouse, whereas the existing advanced works based on clustering algorithms are very limited and have several shortcomings. In this context, our paper proposes a new solution that conceives an optimized columnar data warehouse based on CLARANS clustering algorithm that has proven its effectiveness in generating optimal column families. Experimental results improve the validity of our system by performing a detailed comparative study between the existing advanced approaches and our proposed optimized method.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Since many decades, data warehouse system has been a very important place in data analytics solutions thanks to its ability to effectively manage one of the major capital of any organization: the Data. However, the arrival of big data and the need
for analysis continuously this voluminous mass in perpetual increase has impacted its ecosystem. This situation has led some analysts to anticipate the disappearance of DW in favor of Big Data systems, whereas the majority of data warehousing communities are campaigning for its extension \(^1\) in order to align their decisional systems to Big Data requirements \(^2\). This alignment is materialized principally through the integration of Big Data pillars summarized in 5Vs (Volume, Variety, Velocity, Value and Veracity) in the different phases of decision-making process.

In traditional data warehouses, a large number of requirements are not fully met by internal sources. This situation penalizes companies looking for real added value and contradicts the initial objective of decision-making systems. Hence, in order to help managers to make the right decisions, companies owning data warehousing technology have to enrich their existing data warehouses with new concepts by integrating external Big Data (related to their activities) in their decision-making system. However, traditional DW based on relational database is not suitable to support external big data characterized by its high volume and data format heterogeneity which requires a schemaless distributed system able to cohabit internal and external data efficiently contributing to the renaissance of this vital ecosystem.

To deal with the previous challenges, several researches that have been proposed in the literature are oriented towards the direct conversion of classical relational data warehouse to a columnar NoSQL data warehouse (CN-DW), whereas the few advanced works based on clustering algorithms are very limited and have several shortcomings. Thus, the issue of designing an optimized CN-DW still arises. In this paper, we revisit existing CN-DW models by treating its main limitations in our new solution that conceives an optimized model based on clustering algorithm \(^3\) with an optimal column family’s number. In addition, our system takes into consideration all relational data warehouse (Rel-DW) attributes to design a complete targeted model able to meet perfectly the new needs of business leaders.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the most recent related works in the current topic and discusses their main limitations. Section 3 highlights the main concepts used in the proposed solution, such as the HBase NoSQL database and Clarans clustering algorithms. Section 4 presents the functional architecture of our optimized CN-DW with a set of detailed algorithms for each phase. Section 5 improves the performance of our system with a real and practical comparison with the most recent existing approaches. Section 6 presents an analysis and discussion. Finally, Section 7 concludes our work and suggests some future extensions of this topic.

2 RELATED WORKS

In order to perfectly meet the needs of business leaders in the big data era, several researches have been made to ensure the enrichment of the decisional systems with different types of big data such as semantic web \(^4\), social data \(^5\) \(^6\), NoSQL data \(^7\), data lakes \(^8\) and LOD \(^9\) \(^10\) \(^11\). However, neither of these approaches
N. Soussi has implemented a decision-making system based on a big data warehouse, which is ready at all times to support the increased integration of big data so as to constantly satisfy the functional exigencies of decision-makers. To deal with this challenge, and to ensure a suitable environment for external big data, numerous works have been design a big data warehouse based on column oriented NoSQL database (CN-DW) from a classical DW (Rel-DW) trying to respect the maximum of specifications and peculiarities of each system. The existing works can be classified into three main categories:

**Naive approaches:** These approaches such as [12][13][14] and [15] are called naives since they propose a direct conversion method based on a set of mapping rules defined by matching the basic characteristics of each model. However, all these works neglected the consideration of any optimization operation; hence they have an imbalance on the number of attributes in column families. In addition, they did not control the number of generated column families.

**Advanced approaches:** In order to solve the shortcoming and weaknesses of naive approaches, several works have been made to enhance the existing CN-DW schema conception and group attributes in column families more efficiently. In [16], the authors decided to classify Rel-DW attributes into two column families in CN-DW schema: the first one gathers the most interrogated attributes, whereas the second one groups the remaining attributes. In addition, paper [17] presents a new method called NoSE (NoSQL Schema Evaluator) considered as a cost-based method aiming to recommend an optimized storage schemas for NoSQL column oriented database. However, the effectiveness of this system is limited to queries manipulating a small number of attributes. But all these approaches do not consider clustering techniques in their CN-DW conception methods to optimize the grouping of column families in order to improve the query processing performance and response times to complex queries.

**Optimized approaches:** In order to design the most optimized data model of CN-DW column families, the first work established in this direction is presented in [18] that propose a new method for grouping data carefully (from fact and dimensions of Rel-DW) in one CN-DW table using k-means as a clustering algorithm. In fact, the authors use this technique in order to group in the same column family the frequently used attributes based on a set of decisional queries. Likewise, the paper [19] addresses the same issue, with a normalized technique which consists to create different tables in CN-DW instead of one table. To do that, they grouped analogous queries in classes using k-medoid algorithm, end then they used the PSO algorithm (Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm based on meta-heuristics) to gather column families attributes according to each similar class of queries. The experimental results obtained by adopting clustering algorithms in CN-DW design prove the enhancement of decisional queries performance. Although, these optimized researches share some similarities with our solution presented in this paper, however they neglected two important points:
• The both clustering algorithms adopted above (k-means and k-medoid [20]) require the specification of clusters number without proposing any improvement of this initial configuration.

• They consider just the attributes used in decisional queries to conceive the distributed data warehouse. In this case, the designed CN-DW will be unable to meet new needs requiring the use of excluded attributes.

This comparative study showed that, despite these numerous solutions proposed in the literature (discussed previously), the issue of designing an optimized CN-DW still arises. To deal with the previous shortcomings, our current work provides a new approach aiming to design a CN-DW with an optimal clusters number with CLARANS algorithm. In addition, our system take into consideration all Rel-DW attributes to design a complete targeted model able to meet perfectly the new needs of business leaders.

Table 1 presents a technical comparison of the most recent approaches aiming to design CN-DW from Rel-DW. To realize this comparison, we considered the following main characteristics:

Conversion type: indicates the type of CN-DW design: (a) direct methods are based on a set of mapping rules defined by matching the basic characteristics of each model. (b) advanced methods are more developed than the first ones; for exemple they gather the most interrogated attributes in one columns, and the remaining attributes in the second one. (c) optimized methods design the most optimized data model of CN-DW.

Type of optimization technique used by optimized approaches.

Name of optimization technique

Complete model: in order to have a complete CN-DW that can meet future needs, it should contains all the Rel-DW attributes even if they are not used by current analytical questions.

Predefined CF number: it refers to the number of CN-DW column families: is it predefined randomly or generated and optimized by clustering algorithms.

Type of CN-DW: the NoSQL system used to implement the targeted CN-DW.

3 BACKGROUND

In this section, we introduce the main concepts used by our solution presented in Figure 1 that describes the proposed optimal CN-DW implemented in HBase as a column oriented NoSQL database and based on Clarans clustering algorithm to design the best regroupement of columns families.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion Type</th>
<th>Type of Optimization Techniques</th>
<th>Name of Optimization Technique</th>
<th>Complete Model</th>
<th>Predefined CF Number</th>
<th>Type of CN-DW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[12] Direct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HBase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13] Direct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HBase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14] Direct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HBase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15] Direct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Cassandra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16] Advanced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Cassandra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17] Advanced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HBase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18] Optimized</td>
<td>Clustering &amp; meta-heuristic algorithms</td>
<td>K-medoid + PSO</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HBase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19] Optimized</td>
<td>Clustering algorithms</td>
<td>K-means</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HBase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Technical comparison of CN-DW design approaches

3.1 HBase

HBase [21] is a Column Oriented Database that looks remarkably like a relational database, but the concept is entirely different. It is dedicated to accommodating many columns (up to several million) for each line. It is characterized by a variable number of columns that can change from one row to another (we can consider that a column exists if it contains a value). This type of NoSQL database offers a high scalability in data storage and flexible schema due to the number of columns that can change from one row to another. The model of column-oriented database is composed of a set of Tables; each table contains a set of rows. Each row can be represented as $Ri = (Idi, (CFi1, CFi2, \ldots, CFim))$ with $i \in [1, n]$, $j \in [1, m]$, $Idi$ is a row id and $CFij$ is a column family of the row $Ri$. Each column family can contain numerous columns that have the same categories of attributes which also called Column Qualifier. In this work we have chosen HBase to implement the CN-DW in order to have a flexible schema ready to receive heterogeneous external data easily and to improve the execution time of decision queries. In addition, we can operate on HBase tables with Spark and MapReduce for parallel processing of big data.

3.2 Clustering Algorithms

Automatic classification or clustering is an important step in the process of Knowledge Extraction from Data. It aims to develop an optimal partitioning by grouping data into classes that share similar characteristics where the data is generally represented by measurement vectors or points in a multidimensional space. Intuitively, vectors belonging to a valid cluster are more similar to each other than a vector
belonging to a differ group. In other words, the goal of these methods is to identify groups from an unlabeled set of data vectors that share semantic similarities. This allows the user to construct a cognitive model, thus aiding the detection of the inherent structure of a data set.

There are four main families of clustering algorithms: Hierarchical algorithms, Algorithms by partition, Algorithms based on density, Classification based on quantification by grid. In this paper, the most suitable type for our problem is the data partitioning algorithms in order to design the best grouping of column families for the CN-DW. They consist in dividing directly a set of data (the attributes of Rel-DW) into k classes (or families of columns in our case) such that each class (or FC) must contain at least one attribute and each attribute must belong to a unique class unlike the so-called fuzzy classification which does not impose this condition. Among the famous algorithms of this type [22], we quote: K-means, K-medoids, Partition Around Medoid (PAM), Clustering LARge Applications (CLARA) et Clustering large applications based upon randomized search (CLARANS).

The general algorithm of a partition classification follows the steps below:

1. Determine the number of clusters.
2. Initialize cluster centers.
3. Partition the dataset.
4. Calculate cluster centers (make an update).
5. If the partitioning is unchanged (or the algorithm has converged), stop; otherwise go to step 3.

A problem that accompanies the use of a partition classification algorithm is the choice of the desired output classes number. Partition clustering techniques generally produce clusters by optimizing an objective function defined locally (on a subset of data vectors) or globally (defined on all vectors) which translates that the objects must be similar within a same class, and dissimilar from one class to another. For a classification in k classes, these algorithms generate an initial partition, and then search to improve it by reassigning individuals from one class to another, which allows the possibility that a poor initial partition could be corrected later.

In our context of designing an optimized CN-DW, we use Clarans (as a partition algorithm) in order to propose the best grouping of Rel-DW attributes in the targeted CN-DW columns families. Indeed, it makes a stochastic search based on different parameters allowing to limit the number of iterations of the method, as well as on random sampling. Given k the number of clusters sought, a data partitioning consists of a set of k medoids, to which are associated the set of objects according to their proximity to these medoids. The main steps of the method are as follows:

1. select a representative sample of data;
2. iterate a fixed number of times;
   • choose a random solution: a set of k medoid;
• iterate a fixed number of times:
  – choose a neighboring solution of the current one by a random modification
    of one of the solution’s medoid;
  – keep the neighbor as the new current solution if the global inertia of the
    partition is less than the previous solution inertia;
• store the local optimal solution found

3. return the best of the local optimal solutions found.

CLARANS allows to extract classes of better quality compared to the PAM and
CLARA methods; however this method is sensitive to the chosen parameters and
has a complexity of the order $O(k.n^2)$.

4 PROPOSED APPROACH

In this section, we describe our novel contribution illustrated via the functional
architecture presented in Figure 1. This architecture is composed of five principal
layers:

Rel-DW layer: contains the data source of our system as a relational DW (Rel-
DW) with its metadata and the most frequent decisional queries with their access
frequencies.

Rel-DW to CN-DW layer: presents the main phase in our proposed solution
that operates on the previous elements in order to group each set of attributes
used together (in the selected input decisional queries) in the same column family
to design an optimized targeted CN-DW, with Clarans clustering algorithm,
that take into consideration all Rel-DW attributes in order to design a complete
targeted model.

CN-DW layer: the logical optimized schema provided by the second layer is used
to implements the CN-DW on HBase [23], considered as the most used NoSQL
column oriented DB. This new system is fed from input source (Rel-DW) by
respecting its logical schema.

Decision Maker & CN-DW Enrichment Layers: these layers correspond to
the on-demand Big ETL that feed the CN-DW from external big data sources
(Linked Open Data, Data Lakes, social media, semantic data, etc.) only when
the decision makers need to enrich some answers of decisional queries judged
as unsatisfactory to the needs of managers. In fact, this phase requires the
adaptation of internal decision queries to external system schema in order to be
able to extract perfectly the required data fragments. The external results are
exploited in two ways:

1. they are integrated into CN-DW for future analyzes more fruitful;
2. they are merged with the results of internal decision query in order to be
   visualized by end users for more efficient managerial decisions.
Figure 1. Global Architecture of our approach
In this paper, we will focus specially on the second layer designing an optimized CN-DW with Clarans clustering algorithm as presented in Algorithm-1. It operates on relational DW metadata (Rel-DW), list of most frequent decisional queries (Qdecisional), Frequency of Query Access Matrix by sites (FQAM), initial number of cluster defining column families (k), maximal number of neighbors (max_neighbor) and the iterations number of CLARANS clustering algorithm to resolve the problem (iterationsNbr) in order to design an optimized model for the targeted DW based on NoSQL column-oriented DB (CN-DW) that we represent as a big column NoSQL table (TCN-DW). In fact, our approach processes according to five major steps as described in Algorithm-1:

- Step 1: Extract the set of attributes
- Step 2: Construct Attributes Query Matrix (AQM)
- Step 3: Construct Attributes Affinity Matrix (AAM)
- Step 4: Design column family schemas (with Clarans algorithm)
- Step 5: Create final column-oriented NoSQL table (CN-DW)

**Algorithm-1 : Rel-DW to CN-DW**

**Inputs:** Rel-DW-metadata, List Q\_decisional, FQAM, k, max\_neighbor, iterationsNbr

**Output:** TCN-DW

**Begin**

- List L\_attr, AQM, AAM
- List<Table> CFs<Attributes>
- 1. L\_attr ← ExtractAttributes(Rel-DW, List Q\_decisional)
- 2. AQM ← ConstructAttributesQueryMatrix(L\_attr, Q\_decisional)
- 3. nbrQ ← Q\_decisional.length() //number of queries
- 4. nbrAtt ← A.length() //number of attributes
- 5. AAM ← ConstructAttributesAffinityMatrix(AQM, FQAM, nbrQ, nbrAtt)
- 6. CFs ← Clarans(AAM, k, max\_neighbor, iterationsNbr)
- 7. TCN\_DW ← CreateOrientedColumnTable(table\_name, CFs)
- 8. Return TCN-DW

**End Algorithm**

![Figure 2. Algorithm-1](image)

### 4.1 Extract Rel-DW Attributes

We aim in this step to prepare the main object in our major process to design column families in the targeted DW. In fact, and as illustrated in Algorithm-2, we operate on Rel-DW metadata to access its dimensions and facts in order to return all Rel-DW attributes that will be used by the next step.
4.2 Construct Attributes Query Matrix

In order to design CN-DW columns by grouping a set of attributes used together (in decisional queries) in the same column family, we have to conceive firstly the Attributes Query Matrix (AQM) as illustrated in Algorithm-3. It operates on the previous list of Rel-DW attributes $\text{ListeAttr}\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ (generated by Algorithm-2) and a list of the most frequent decisional queries $QOLAP = \{q_1, \ldots, q_m\}$ presented as inputs in order to construct the AQM dimensioned by $n \times m$ where $n$ is the number of $QOLAP$ and $m$ is the number of attributes. In fact, we go through the previous lists to check the membership of each attribute to the set of queries: if an attribute $a_i$ appears in $q_j$ then the $AQM[i, j]$ is equal to 1 else $AQM[i, j]$ is equal to 0.

4.3 Construct Attributes Affinity Matrix

AAM is a symmetric matrix ($n \times n$ where $n$ is the number of attributes) that indicates how the attributes are closely related. Each element of the AAM matrix is defined by an affinity value which measures the strength of an imaginary link between two attributes based on the fact that these attributes are used together by the query. The affinity value between two attributes $a_i$ and $a_j$ represents the number of times where two attributes are accessed together on all sites, it is defined as follows:

$$\text{Aff}(a_i, a_j) = \sum_{\text{All queries that access } a_i \text{ and } a_j} \text{Query access}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\text{Query access} = \sum_{\text{For all sites}} \text{Access frequency of query}. \quad (2)$$
4.4 Design Column Family Schemas with Clarans

In order to overcome the limitations of using k-means and k-medoid for designing a CN-DW in existing approaches as detailed in the previous section, we opted in our solution for Clarans algorithm. In fact, CLARANS (Clustering large Application Based on Randomized Search) is a partitioning method for clustering a large database [20]. It has proven its effectiveness in generating an optimal number of clusters unlike the first two algorithms keeping the same number initially proposed without any improvement. The Algorithm-5 describes the main conception steps adopted by Clarans to design an optimal grouping of CN-DW column families.

4.5 Create Column Oriented Table

After generating the schema of columns families (CFs) by Clarans clustering algorithm (Algorithm-5), the Algorithm-6 (as described below) operates on these CFs to create an HBase column-oriented table which will be ready to receive and group optimally the data from Rel-DW.

---

**Algorithm-3: Construct Attributes Query Matrix**

**Inputs:** List ListeAttr, List Q Decisional

**Output:** List AQM

**Begin**

1. For i ← 1 to A.length() do
2. For j ← 0 to Q.length() do
3. If(ListeAttr[i].AppearsIn(Q[j]) = True) then
   4. AQM[i, j] ← 1
   5. Else
   6. AQM[i, j] ← 0
   7. End if
8. End For
9. End For
10. Return AQM

**End Algorithm**

---

Figure 4. Algorithm-3

The Algorithm-4 below describes the detailed conception steps of the AAM matrix. It takes as inputs AQM generated by Algorithm-3, Frequency of Query Access Matrix, queries number and the total number of attributes in Rel-DW in order to design Attributes Affinity Matrix (AAM) by implementing the previous equations.
In order to evaluate the performance of our system, we have implemented three different methods with python language: two already existing approaches using k-means and k-medoid clustering algorithm in addition to our method operating with Clarans, for designing an optimized CN-DW HBase system. We have operated on TPC-DS benchmark1 as a dataset. It is a relational data warehouse as a constellation schema, but in our context, we extracted a start schema composed of the fact table store_sales with its dimensions (Customer, Customer demographics, Customer address, Item, Time, Date, Household demographics, Promotion, Store). This start schema produces 176 attributes. To carry out our experiments, we set up two storage environments: the first one is relational with Intel-Core machine TMI5-4210U CPU@1.70 GHZ with 8 GB of RAM, and a 250 GB disk; it runs under the Windows 10 operating system with 64-bit. The second is a distributed NoSQL storage
Figure 6. Algorithm-5

environment, as a Cloudera virtual machine version 5.13.0 with 7 GB of RAM and 2 CPU.

In order to measure the effectiveness and the quality of each method, we have fixed some evaluation factors to do this:

1. the number of column families generated,
2. the cost of the attribute groups schema and
3. the execution time.

The number of column families generated: As presented in Table 2, our proposed approach (Clarans CN-DW) optimizes the NbrCF by generating a lower number than the initial one from a NbrCF equals to 8, unlike the other existing methods (k-means and k-medoid CN-DW) that design CN-DW based on the initial NbrCF without any optimization. We observe that for NbrCF between 160 and 175, our method generates an optimal schema with final NbrCF equals to 45 and a Square Error (defined in the sub-section bellow) equals to zero.
The cost of the attributes groups schema: this metric is obtained using the Square Error (E2) as presented in [24]. In fact, the clustering method becomes more and more optimal as long as the E2 value approaches zero. The Square Error is calculated as follow:

\[
E^2_S = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left[ \left( f_{ql} \right)^2 \times \alpha_{ql}^{q_i} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{ql}^{q_i}}{\beta_i} \right) \right]
\]  
(3)

with \( f_{ql} \) is the access frequency of \( q_l \) query, \( \alpha_{ql}^{q_i} \) is the number of attributes in \( CF_i \) accessed by \( q_l \) query, \( \beta_i \) is the number of attributes in column family \( CF_i \).

In order to measure the quality of the attributes groups schema, we have calculated the Square Error of each method by varying the number of columns families (NbrCF). As presented in Table 3, the Square Error is equal to zero for K-means and K-medoid CN-DW methods from NbrCF = 45. However, the Square Error of Clarans CN-DW method is equal to zero from NbrCF = 160.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NbrCF</th>
<th>K-Means</th>
<th>K-Medoid</th>
<th>Clarans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Square Error for the three CN-DW methods

The execution time: the graph illustrated in Figure 2 describes the execution time variation for the three CN-DW methods (using K-means, K-medoid and Clarans clustering algorithm) by varying the number of columns families (NbrCF) between 2 and 45. In fact, we notice that the execution time of the
Clarans CN-DW method is very high compared to the other ones. However, as described in Figure 3, the execution time of the K-means CN-DW method is higher than K-medoid CN-DW one for $2 \leq \text{NbrCF} \leq 9$. On the other hand, for $10 \leq \text{NbrCF} \leq 45$ the execution time of K-medoid CN-DW method is very high compared to K-means one. Hence, we deduce the following arrangement of the three compared CN-DW methods: K-means < K-medoid < Clarans.

Table 4 presents a detailed execution time of generating CN-DW’s CF number with K-means, K-medoid and Clarans clustering algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of initial Columns families</th>
<th>K-Means</th>
<th>K-Medoid</th>
<th>Clarans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.062479</td>
<td>0.031249</td>
<td>13.790757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.12484</td>
<td>0.154433</td>
<td>8.250328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.289458</td>
<td>0.563852</td>
<td>23.487544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.285789</td>
<td>0.693265</td>
<td>17.856511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.364845</td>
<td>0.858601</td>
<td>23.44205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.409217</td>
<td>1.029528</td>
<td>26.946319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Execution time of generating CN-DW’s CF number with clustering algorithms

Figure 8. Execution time of generating CN-DW’s CF number with K-means, K-medoid and Clarans

Figure 9. Comparison between K-means and K-medoid execution time
6 DISCUSSION

By analyzing the previous experiments results summarized in Table 5, we have noted that in the optimal case when the Square Error is equal to zero, the attributes not used by the input decisional queries are grouped in the same column family by our proposed method with Clarans, unlike the two existing methods which manipulate just the decisional queries attributes that conceive an incomplete CN-DW unsuitable for new needs. Although our approach has recorded a high execution time compared to existing methods, Table 5 proven its effectiveness in optimizing the number of column families unlike the two existing methods which preserves the same initial number and do not offer any improvement in this direction. In fact, our proposed approach always generates a number of columns families lower than the initial number especially in the case where this initial number is equal to (the number of attributes – 1), our method returns an optimal schema in terms of execution time, number of clusters and Square Error. In addition, the execution time of our method depends on the number of iterations and the number of neighbors, if these parameters are small, the execution time is fast but the schema is not optimal, in the opposite case, the schema is optimal but the execution time is considerable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Initial CF Number</th>
<th>Final CF Number</th>
<th>Execution Time</th>
<th>Square Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-Means</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Medoid</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarans</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20.480</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Summary of the main experiments results

7 CONCLUSION

In this contribution, we have proposed a new method to optimize designing columnar data warehouse using CLARANS clustering algorithm that generates an optimal grouping of column families. In addition, our system take into consideration all Rel-DW attributes to design a complete targeted model able to meet perfectly the new needs of business leaders. In order to improve the effectiveness and benefits of our system, we have elaborated numerous comparison tests (with existing works) based on TPC-DS benchmark as a dataset. As future work, we intend to implement our CN-DW with the generated combination of columns families by CLARANS algorithm and execute the set of selected decisional queries on our optimized CN-DW to evaluate its performance.

1 [http://www.tpc.org/tpcds/]
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